# UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION VII 901 NORTH 5<sup>th</sup> STREET KANSAS CITY, KANSAS 66101

03 FEB -7 AM 11:44

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
AGENCY-REGION VII
REGIONAL HEARING CLERK

## BEFORE THE ADMINISTRATOR

IN THE MATTER OF	) Docket No. FIFRA-07-2003-0074
Three Rivers FS 1000 South 5th Street Manchester, Iowa 52057 Respondent	) COMPLAINT AND NOTICE OF ) OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING ) )
	COMPLAINT
	Section I
•	

1. This is an administrative action for the assessment of civil penalties instituted pursuant to Section 14 of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), 7 U.S.C. § 1361.

**Jurisdiction** 

2. This Complaint serves as notice that the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has reason to believe that Respondent has violated Section 12 of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136j.

### Section II

#### **Parties**

- 3. The Complainant, by delegation from the Administrator of the EPA, and the Regional Administrator, EPA, Region VII, is the Director of the Water, Wetlands, and Pesticides Division, EPA, Region VII.
- 4. The Respondent is Three Rivers FS, a pesticide dealer, located at 1000 South 5th Street, Manchester, Iowa 52057. The Respondent's headquarters office is Three Rivers FS Company, located at 217 S. West Street, Earlville, Iowa 52041. The Respondent is and was at all times referred to in this Complaint, a "person" as defined by Section 2(s) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136(s), and an Iowa corporation qualified to do business in the state of Iowa.

#### Section III

## <u>Violations</u>

## General Allegations

- 5. The Complainant hereby states and alleges that Respondent has violated FIFRA as follows:
- 6. Section 12(a)(2)(F) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. §136j(a)(2)(F), states that it shall be unlawful for any person to distribute or sell, or to make available for use, or to use, any registered pesticide classified for restricted use other than in accordance with Section 3(d) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C.§ 136a(d).
- 7. Section 2(gg) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136(gg), defines the term "to distribute or sell" to mean to distribute, sell, offer for sale, hold for distribution, hold for sale, hold for shipment, ship, deliver for shipment, release for shipment, or receive and (having so received) deliver or offer to deliver.
- 8. Section 3(d)(1)(C)(i) and (ii) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136a(d)(1)(C)(i) and (ii), state in pertinent part, that a restricted use pesticide shall be applied for any use to which the restricted classification applies only by or under the direct supervision of a certified applicator.
- 9. Section 2(e)(1) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136(e)(1), defines "certified applicator" as any individual who is certified under Section 11 of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. §136i, to use or supervise the use of any pesticide which is classified for restricted use.
- 10. AZTEC insecticide is a pesticide registered to Bayer Corporation of Kansas City, Missouri, under EPA Registration No. 3125-412.
- 11. The label of AZTEC, a registered pesticide states, in pertinent part,: "RESTRICTED USE PESTICIDE...For retail sale to, and use only by, Certified Applicators or persons under their direct supervision, and only for those uses covered by the Certified Applicator's certification."
- 12. On or about January 31, 2002, and again on February 15, 2002, a representative of the lowa Department of Agriculture and Land Stewardship (IDALS) conducted an inspection at Respondent's place of business in Manchester, Iowa, and documented the sale of a Restricted Use Pesticide identified in paragraph 11, to an uncertified person for use by an uncertified person.

### Count 1

- 13. The facts stated in paragraphs 6 through 12 are realleged and incorporated as if fully stated herein.
- 14. On or about May 14, 2001, Respondent distributed or sold, and thereby made available for use, to Mr. Jerry Krogman of Manchester, Iowa, the Restricted Use Pesticide, AZTEC, described in paragraph 10.
- 15. At the time of the sale referred to in paragraph 14, Mr. Krogman was not a certified applicator as that term is defined in Section 2(e)(1) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136(e)(1).
- 16. Mr. Krogman used the AZTEC Restricted Use Pesticide sold to him by Respondent as described in paragraph 14, and at the time of use was not a certified applicator, and did not apply the pesticide under the direct supervision of a certified applicator.
- 17. Respondent violated Section 12(a)(2)(F) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136j(a)(2)(F), by selling or making available for use a registered pesticide classified for a restricted use other than in accordance with Section 3(d) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136a(d).
- 18. Pursuant to Section 14 of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136l, and based upon the facts stated in paragraphs 13 through 17, it is proposed that a civil penalty of \$5,500 be assessed against Respondent.

#### Section IV

## Total Proposed Penalty

19. Section 14 of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136*l*, and the Debt Collection Improvement Act of 1996, as implemented by the Civil Monetary Penalties Inflation Adjustment Rule, 40 C.F.R. Part 19, authorize the issuance of this Complaint for the assessment of a civil penalty of up to Five Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$5,500) for each violation. The EPA proposes to assess a total civil penalty of Five Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$5,500) against Respondent for the above-described violations.

# Appropriateness of Proposed Penalty

20. The penalty proposed above has been calculated after consideration of the statutory factors set forth in Section 14 of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 1361. Specifically, EPA considered the size of the business of Respondent, the effect of the proposed penalty on Respondent's ability to continue in business and the gravity of the alleged violations. In its calculation of the proposed penalty, EPA has taken into account the particular facts and circumstances of the alleged

violations, with specific reference to EPA guidance for the calculation of proposed penalties under FIFRA (See Enclosure, <u>July 2, 1990. Enforcement Response Policy for the Federal Insecticide</u>, <u>Fungicide</u>, and <u>Rodenticide Act (FIFRA)</u>).

- 21. For purposes of calculating the proposed penalty, EPA obtained financial information indicating that Respondent's total business revenues were in excess of \$1,000,000 per year. This information placed Respondent in Category I size of business, as set forth in the FIFRA Civil Penalty Calculation Worksheet attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference (See Enclosure). If EPA's estimate of Respondent's total business revenues is incorrect, Respondent may submit reliable financial documentation indicating another category is appropriate.
- 22. Respondent has the right, upon submittal of certified financial information, to consideration of Respondent's financial condition in mitigation of the proposed penalty insofar as is necessary to permit Respondent to continue in business.
- 23. The proposed penalty constitutes a demand only if Respondent fails to raise bona fide issues of ability to pay, or other bona fide affirmative defenses relevant to the determination of any final penalty.
- 24. Said issues of ability to pay or other affirmative defenses relevant to a final penalty may and should be brought to the attention of Complainant at the earliest opportunity in this proceeding.
- 25. Payment of the total penalty \$5,500 may be made by certified or cashier's check payable to the "Treasurer, United States of America," and remitted to:

Mellon Bank EPA - Region VII Regional Hearing Clerk P.O. Box 360748M Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15251

26. If Respondent does not contest the findings and assessments set forth above, payment of the penalty assessed herein may be remitted as described in the preceding paragraph, including a reference to the name and docket number of the Complaint. In addition, a copy of the check should be sent to:

Regional Hearing Clerk EPA - Region 7 901 North 5<sup>th</sup> Street Kansas City, Kansas 66101 and a copy to:

Mr. Rupert Thomas Assistant Regional Counsel EPA - Region 7 901 North 5<sup>th</sup> Street Kansas City, Kansas 66101

# NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING

#### Section V

# Answer and Request for Hearing

27. Pursuant to Section 14(a) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136(a), Respondent has the right to request a hearing to contest any material fact contained in this Complaint or to contest the appropriateness of the penalty proposed herein. If Respondent wishes to avoid being found in default, Respondent must file a written answer and request for hearing with:

Regional Hearing Clerk EPA - Region 7 901 North 5th Street Kansas City, Kansas 66101

within thirty (30) days of service of this Complaint and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing. Said answer shall clearly and directly admit, deny, or explain each of the factual allegations contained in the Complaint with respect to which Respondent has any knowledge, or shall clearly state that Respondent has no knowledge as to particular factual allegations in the Complaint. The answer shall also state:

- a. The circumstances or arguments that are alleged to constitute the grounds of defense;
- b. The facts that Respondent intends to place at issue; and
- c. Whether a hearing is requested.

Failure to deny any of the factual allegations in the Complaint constitutes an admission of the undenied allegations.

28. Any hearing that is requested shall be held and conducted in accordance with the "Consolidated Rules of Practices Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties, Issuance of Compliance or Corrective Action Orders, and the Revocation, Termination or Suspension of Permits," 40 C.F.R. Part 22 (copy enclosed).

- 29. If Respondent fails to file a written answer and request for hearing within thirty (30) days of service of this Complaint and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing, such failure will constitute a binding admission of all of the allegations in this Complaint, and a waiver of Respondent's right to a hearing under FIFRA. A Default Order may thereafter be issued by the Regional Administrator, and the civil penalties proposed therein shall become due and payable without further proceedings.
- 30. Respondent is advised that, after the Complaint is issued, the Consolidated Rules of Practice prohibit any ex parte (unilateral) discussion of the merits of any action with the EPA Regional Administrator, members of the Environmental Appeals Board, the Regional Judicial Officer, Administrative Law Judge, or any person likely to advise these officials in the decision of the case.

#### Section VI

## Settlement Conference

31. Whether or not a hearing is requested, an informal settlement conference may be arranged at Respondent's request. Respondent may confer with the EPA concerning: (1) whether or not the alleged violation occurred; or (2) the appropriateness of the proposed penalty in relation to the size of Respondent's business, the gravity of the violation, and the effect of the proposed penalty on Respondent's ability to continue in business. Additionally, the proposed penalty may be adjusted if Respondent establishes a bona fide issue of ability to pay. To explore the possibility of settlement in this matter, contact:

Mr. Rupert Thomas Assistant Regional Counsel EPA Region 7 901 North 5<sup>th</sup> Street Kansas City, Kansas 66101 Telephone: (913) 551-7282

A request for an informal settlement conference does not extend the thirty (30) day period during which a written answer and request for a hearing must be submitted. The informal conference procedure may be pursued as an alternative to and simultaneously with the adjudicatory hearing procedure.

EPA encourages all parties against whom a civil penalty is proposed to pursue the possibility of settlement. However, no penalty reduction will be made simply because an informal settlement conference is held. If settlement is reached, the parties will enter into a written Consent Agreement, and a Final Order will be issued. The issuance of such a Consent Agreement and Final Order shall constitute a waiver of Respondent's right to request a hearing on any matter stipulated to therein.

Date /

Carol Kather

Acting Director

Water, Wetlands, and Pesticides Division

Mr. Rupert Thomas

Assistant Regional Counsel Office of Regional Counsel

## Enclosures:

- 1. Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties, Issuance of Compliance Action Orders, and the Revocation, Termination or Suspension of Permits, 40 C.F.R. Part 22
- July 2, 1990, Enforcement Response Policy for the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act
- 3. FIFRA Civil Penalty Calculation Worksheet
- 4. SBREFA Fact Sheet
- 5. Notice of Securities and Exchange Commission Registrants Duty to Disclose Environmental Legal Proceedings

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on the date noted below I hand delivered the original and one copy of this Complaint and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing to the Regional Hearing Clerk, EPA, 901 North 5<sup>th</sup> Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101.

I further certify that on the date noted below I sent by certified mail, return receipt requested, a copy of the signed original Complaint and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing; a copy of the Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties, Issuance of Compliance or Corrective Action Orders, and the Revocation, Termination or Suspension of Permits, 40 C.F.R. Part 22; a copy of the July 2, 1990, Enforcement Response Policy for the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act; a copy of the FIFRA Civil Penalty Calculation Worksheet; the SBREFA Fact Sheet; and the Notice of Securities and Exchange Commission Registrants' Duty to Disclose Environmental Legal Proceedings, to the following:

Mr. Don Albrecht Registered Agent for Three Rivers FS 218 Northside Rd. Earlville, Iowa 52041

2/7/2003 Date

Vitula Lungren

# FIFRA CIVIL PENALTY CALCULATION WORKSHEET ENFORCEMENT RESPONSE POLICY for FIFRA - References

RESPONDENT ADDRESS

Three Rivers FS

1

Prepared By Barbara Pierce

1000 S. 5th Street Manchester, Iowa 52057

Date

1/28/03

Case File

FTTS 013102-F1365-01

	Count 1
Appendix A	-
1. Statutory Violation	§ 12(a)(2)(F)
2. FTTS Code	2FA
3. Violation Level	2
Appendix C - Table 2 - Size of Business Category	
4. Violator Category * § 14(a)(1) or § 14(a)(2)	§ 14(a)(1)
5. Size of Business Category	
Appendix C - Table 1 - FIFRA Civil Penalty Matrix	
6. BASE PENALTY	\$5,500
Appendix B - Gravity Adjustments	
7a. Pesticide Toxicity	2
7b. Human Harm	3
7c. Environmental Harm	3
7d. Compliance History	0
7e. Culpability	2
7f. Total Gravity Adjustment Value (add items 7a - 7e)	10
Appendix C - Table 3 - Adjustments	
7g. Percent Adjustment	-0-
7h. Dollar Adjustment	0-
8. Final Penalty** (item 7h from item 6)	\$ 5,500

<sup>\*</sup> Section 14(a)(1) of PIFRA - Any registrant, commercial applicator, wholesaler, dealer, retailer, or other distributor who violates any provision of this subchapter may be assessed a civil penalty by the Administrator of not more than \$5,000 for each offense.

Section 14(a)(2) of FIFRA - Any private applicator or other person not included in paragraph (1) who violates any provision of this subchapter subsequent to receiving a written warning from the Administrator or following a citation for a prior violation, may be assessed a civil penalty by the Administrator of not more than \$1,000 for each offense, except that any applicator not included under paragraph (1) of this subsection who holds or applies registered pesticides, or use dilutions of registered pesticides, only to provide a service of controlling pests without delivering any unapplied pesticide to any person so served, and who violates any provision of this subchapter may be assessed a civil penalty by the Administrator of not more than \$500 for the first offense nor more than \$1,000 for each subsequent offense.

<sup>\*\*</sup>The final penalty in each column of line 8 cannot exceed the statutory maximum.